

EECS 755 - Midterm Exam

Spring Semester 2022

March 31, 2022

Exercise 1 Which of the following statements are true? (1pt each)

1. The induction tactic implements proof-by-cases
2. The Definition construct can define a recursive function.
3. The rewrite <- H tactic applied with $H:A=B$ will replace A with B.
4. The unfold tactic replaces a function with its definition
5. An enumeration is an Inductive type with no recursion.
6. The reflexivity tactic solves any goal of the form $A = A$.
7. The simpl or intros tactics get rid of universally quantified variables in the goal.
8. The intros command will transform the goal $x=y \rightarrow y=x$ into the new goal $x=y$ and adds $y=x$ to the assumptions.
9. When using Inductive to define a type, the resulting constructors together create every value of a specified type.
10. A decision procedure determines if a term is true or false.
11. Coq functions may take types as arguments and produce types as results.
12. A value of p given the declaration $p:x<y$ is a proof that $x<y$.
13. A transition system defines a finite collection of states and a transition function.
14. In The Adventures of Buckaroo Bonzai, Perfect Tommy is the bass player for The Hong Kong Cavaliers

Exercise 2 In this problem you will define an inductive type for n -ary trees of natural numbers. Recall that an n -ary tree is a tree where nodes have a value and an arbitrary number of branches. A leaf node is a node with zero branches.

1. Define an Inductive type for n -ary integer trees.
2. Define a function `search` that will search an n -ary tree for some natural number and return `option nat` where the `None` constructor indicates not found and `Some` returns a natural number.
3. Give some n -ary tree, `t`, what proof goals will `induction t` generate?
4. Rework your definition to make your n -ary tree definition polymorphic.
5. Rework your `search` function to be polymorphic over your polymorphic tree. In other words, `search` should work no matter what type is in the tree. (This is tricky, be careful)

Exercise 3 Assume the following two inductive data type definitions:

```

Inductive colors : Type :=
| red : nat -> colors
| yellow : nat -> colors
| green : nat -> colors.

Inductive stack : Type :=
| empty : stack
| push : nat -> stack -> stack.

```

1. If a proof goal has the form `s=s` where `s` is a stack, what proof tactic would you apply first? Describe the result.
2. If a proof goal has the form `forall n s, push(n,s) <> empty` what proof tactic would you apply first? Describe the result.
3. If a proof goal has the form `p c` where `p` is a property and `c` is a color, what proof tactic would you apply? Describe the result.
4. If a proof goal has the form `p s` where `p` is a property and `s` is a stack, what proof tactic would you apply? Describe the result.
5. Given a term of the form `H:A->B=C`, what is the difference between `apply H` and `rewrite H`?
6. Given `s:stack` what goals and assumptions are generated by `induction s`?
7. Given `s:stack` what goals and assumptions are generated by `destruct s`?
8. Does `discriminate` do anything with `(push 2 s) = (push 3 s)`? If so, what?
9. Does `injection` do anything with `(push 2 s) = (push 3 s)`? Describe the result.
10. Given `c:colors`, what is the difference between `induction c` and `destruct c`?